UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
LLEWELLYN ANGELO WILLIAMS

Plaintiff,

-against-

SUPPLEMENTAL SIXTH AMENDED COMPLAINT

13- CV – 3315 (NSR) ECF CASE

THE CITY OF NEW ROCHELLE
THE CITY OF NEW ROCHELLE POLICE
DEPARTMENT
SERGEANT DANIEL CONCA
SERGEANT JOHN INZEO
SERGEANT KYLE WILSON
POLICE OFFICER ADAM CASTIGLIA
POLICE OFFICER EDWARD SILLER

Defendants.

Plaintiff LLEWELLYN ANGELO WILLIAMS, by his attorney RUSSELL B. SMITH, Esq., complaining of the defendants, as and for his Supplemental Sixth Amended Complaint, respectfully alleges as follows:

Preliminary Statement

1. Plaintiff brings this action for compensatory damages, punitive damages and attorney's fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 1981, 1983, 1988, Violations of his Civil Rights, as said rights are secured by said statutes and the Constitutions of the State of New York and the United States.

Jurisdiction

2. This action is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 1981, 1983, and 1988 and the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution, Civil Rights Guaranteed under The United States Constitution, and Unfair Trade Practices. Jurisdiction is also founded upon 28 U.S.C. 1331, 1343 and 1367.

Parties

- 3. Plaintiff Llewellyn Williams (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff") at all material times mentioned hereafter is a resident of the City of New Rochelle, County of Westchester, State of New York.
- 4. Defendant City of New Rochelle was and is a municipal corporation duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York.
- 5. The New Rochelle Police Department, whose main responsibility is to protect the people of the City of New Rochelle, is the law enforcement arm of the City of New Rochelle.
- 6. At all times hereinafter mentioned, Defendants Sergeant Daniel Conca, Sergeant John Inzeo, Sergeant Kyle Wilson, Police Officer Adam Castiglia, and Police Officer Edward Siller were duly sworn police officers, acting under the supervision of the New Rochelle Police Department and according to their official duties.
- 7. All of the claims alleged by Plaintiff occurred after October 6, 2011, when Plaintiff executed a General Release
- 8. It is respectfully submitted that as a direct result of the conduct of the abovenamed members of the New Rochelle Police Department towards Plaintiff Williams, his constitutional rights and his civil rights as an American citizen have been violated. Llewellyn Amgelo Williams is an African-American and a small business owner who has been since 2011

repeatedly intimidated and harassed by The New Rochelle Police Department. Because of the conduct of The New Rochelle Police Department towards and against Plaintiff Williams, he has been unlawfully restrained and has been prevented from working as a productive member of the business community. Plaintiff, who like any other citizen, is entitled to equal protection under the law, has been treated unfairly by members of The New Rochelle Police Department.

- 9. Plaintiff Williams' work includes the towing and 'booting' of illegally parked automobiles from privately owned parking lots. A competitor in that line of work Safeway Towing has been awarded a contract with The City of New Rochelle whereby Safeway Towing is entitled to be paid \$110.00 for 'booting' an automobile on municipally owned property. In addition to that fee, Safeway Towing is also entitled to be paid an additional \$26.00 for placing a sticker on the same vehicle. In contrast, Plaintiff Williams is entitled to be paid only \$45.00 for 'booting' an illegally parked automobile and Plaintiff Williams is not entitled to receive any further payment for placing a sticker on an illegally parked automobile.
- 10. Safeway Towing has had the contract to tow and 'boot' automobiles on municipally owned property in The City of New Rochelle for over thirty-five years. Safeway Towing by virtue of that contract is paid approximately three times as much as Plaintiff Williams is paid for providing the exact same service. A uniformed member of The New Rochelle Police Department is dispatched to the location whenever a Safeway driver calls The New Rochelle Police Department to inform them that he is 'booting' or towing an automobile. In the past, a police officer was never dispatched when Plaintiff called The New Rochelle Police Department to inform them that he was 'booting' an automobile. However, since the New Rochelle Police Department has begun to enforce their own regulation that only one 'boot' can be called in at a time uniformed officers have regularly appeared at the locations where Plaintiff is working, and

Plaintiff has been issued tickets for violations of the New Rochelle City Code. (Plaintiff Exhibits F-I).

- 11. Plaintiff Williams has gone before The New Rochelle City Council two times since October 6, 2011, and requested a raise in the amount that he is entitled to charge to tow and 'boot' illegally parked automobiles. Both times the New Rochelle City Council denied Plaintiff's request.
- 12. After October 6, 2011, Plaintiff Williams was retained by CVS Pharmacy to 'boot' illegally parked automobiles in the CVS parking lot. Prior to that, Safeway Towing had been towing and 'booting' automobiles in that parking lot. When Plaintiff commenced working at that location, he put up his own signs with his company name Avalon Towing directly over the signs Safeway Towing had placed. The New Rochelle Police Department immediately appeared at that location and claimed that the signs that Plaintiff Williams had posted were illegal and had to be moved to a new location.
- 13. By law, the New Rochelle Police Department is required to be called when an automobile is being towed or 'booted'. Since October 6, 2011, members of The New Rochelle Police Department are always present when Safeway Towing tows or 'boots' an automobile on municipal property. This prevents potential problems arising from persons whose automobiles are being towed or 'booted'. In contrast, members of the New Rochelle Police Department were practically never present at the scene when Plaintiff Williams 'booted' an illegally parked automobile, until the Department began the policy that only one 'boot' could be called in at a time.
- 14. The City of New Rochelle has recently (subsequent to October 6, 2011) enacted new laws specifically New Rochelle Municipal Code 316 which severely limits

Plaintiff's ability to work at his chosen profession. New Rochelle Municipal Code 316 sets forth nineteen types of vehicles that cannot be 'booted' under any circumstances, even when parked illegally on private property. The Code also requires the operator to remove a 'boot' within fifteen minutes of being contacted. For a small business owner like Plaintiff, who works in several different jurisdictions, that is not possible. It is respectfully submitted that that New Rochelle Municipal Code 316 is specifically targeting Plaintiff Williams, and is intended to put Plaintiff Williams out of business. (Plaintiff Exhibit A – Copy of New Rochelle Municipal Code 316; Plaintiff Exhibit A-1 – Llewellyn Angelo Williams letter to Judge Roman dated January 30, 2014, attached).

15. On several occasions since and after October 6, 2011 members of The New Rochelle Police Department have threatened Plaintiff Williams with arrest where he has legally 'booted' vehicles, and he has been forced and intimidated by New Rochelle Police Officers into removing legally 'booted' automobiles. On numerous occasions Plaintiff and his company have been cited for violations of the New Rochelle City Code, including Municipal Code Section 316. Several of those cases are pending in the New Rochelle City Court. Upon information and belief, Safeway Towing and its employees have never been cited for violating such provisions.

16. The fees paid to Safeway Towing are much higher, for some inexplicable reason, than the fees paid to Plaintiff's company, for the same work. To legally tow an automobile in The City of New Rochelle, the towing company is required to have an insurance policy of at least two million dollars. Plaintiff had previously hired outside companies to tow vehicles from the parking lots where he works in the City of New Rochelle. Under the new laws, the companies previously used by Plaintiff Williams can no longer tow automobiles in The City of New Rochelle because they cannot afford to pay that amount, and Plaintiff is therefore

being prevented from conducting a large part of his business. Due to the implementation of the new laws, the City of New Rochelle has permitted Safeway Towing and Haulage to become a virtual monopoly. It is respectfully submitted that the adoption of these new laws by The City of New Rochelle is retaliatory conduct aimed specifically at Plaintiff Williams. (Plaintiff Exhibit 'B' attached – Grievance).

17. On July 17, 2013, Plaintiff had legally 'booted' an automobile that was parked in a private parking lot at 99 Union Avenue in New Rochelle. There were no license plates on the automobile. The automobile had been owned by plaintiff's mother, who had recently passed away. Plaintiff placed the 'boot' on the automobile to safeguard the automobile until the matter of who was the rightful owner was resolved in Surrogates Court in Westchester County. Plaintiff's brother was paying for the parking space in the lot where the vehicle was parked. Officer Adam Castiglia and Officer Edward Siller arrived at the scene of the private parking lot where the automobile was parked and ordered Plaintiff to remove the 'boot'. Plaintiff's sister Felicia Rosenbaum was present, and Ms. Rosenbaun had called The New Rochelle Police Department, claiming that her and Plaintiff's mother - Alice Williams - had given her the automobile. There was no paperwork or proof of Ms. Felicia Rosenbaum's claim. Plaintiff informed Officers Castiglia and Siller that it was his intention to leave the 'boot' on the automobile until the Westchester County Surrogates Court resolved the matter. Officer Siller and Castiglia informed Plaintiff that if he did not remove the 'boot' immediately, he would be arrested. Plaintiff then removed the 'boot', and Felicia Rosenbaum was then permitted to drive the automobile away from the scene without license plates. No paperwork was ever filed in Westchester County Surrogates Court concerning the automobile that had belonged to Ms. Alice Williams.

- 18. Plaintiff visited The New Rochelle Police Department after this incident and reported what had taken place. The vehicle in question was never seen again by Plaintiff. Sergeant Kyle Wilson subsequently called Plaintiff on the telephone and Sergeant Wilson told plaintiff that he should not have 'booted' an automobile that was parked on a <u>public street</u>. In fact, the vehicle had been parked in a private lot. If Sergeant Wilson had read Plaintiff's written statement, or the police reports that were generated as a result of this incident, Sergeant Wilson would have known that the automobile was <u>not</u> parked on the public street, but in a private parking lot, in a space that plaintiff's brother had paid for. (Plaintiff Exhibit C , copy of police report; Plaintiff Exhibit C-1 Civilian Complaint of Plaintiff; Plaintiff Exhibit C-2, letter from Westchester County Surrogate's Court attached).
- 19. It is respectfully submitted that the above incident as well as a subsequent incident clearly illustrate the prejudice and bias that The New Rochelle Police Department has demonstrated towards Plaintiff Williams and his company Avalon Towing for a number of years, and continue to demonstrate to date.
- 20. On March 2, 2013 Plaintiff Williams lawfully 'booted' an automobile that was unlawfully parked in the parking lot located at 466 Main Street in New Rochelle. There was no type of permit on the vehicle at the time Plaintiff affixed the 'boot'. Subsequent to Plaintiff affixing the 'boot' to the illegally parked vehicle in the lot at 466 Main Street, the owner of the automobile, Victoria Sherman, appeared at the scene. The owner then removed a permit from another automobile that was parked in the same lot, placed the permit on the dashboard of the automobile Plaintiff had 'booted', and called The New Rochelle Police Department. Sergeant John Inzeo responded to that scene. Sergeant Inzeo ordered Plaintiff to remove the 'boot' from Ms. Sherman's vehicle. Sergeant Inzeo further informed Plaintiff that he would not be paid for

the 'boot' that Plaintiff had lawfully placed on Ms. Sherman's car. Plaintiff had properly and lawfully done the work he had been hired to do in a parking lot where Plaintiff was being paid to enforce the regulations, and Plaintiff was denied proper payment by a uniformed member of The New Rochelle Police Department. (Plaintiff Exhibit 'D' - Copy of report attached).

- 21. In late 2011, Plaintiff Williams was hired by the CVS pharmacy located at 625 North Avenue in New Rochelle. Safeway Towing and Haulage had been 'booting' and towing illegally parked vehicles in that parking lot for approximately six years until Plaintiff Williams' company Avalon Towing was hired. Safeway had conspicuously posted signs in that parking lot prohibiting illegal parking, and those signs had been in place for years. After he was hired, Plaintiff placed signs for his company Avalon Towing directly over the signs that Safeway Towing had previously posted. The New Rochelle Police department was aware of where the signs were and who had posted the signs.
- 22. On February 3, 2012, Sergeant Daniel Conca of The New Rochelle Police Department arrived at the CVS parking lot at 625 North Avenue and ordered Plaintiff to remove a 'boot' from an automobile that was parked illegally in the lot. Sergeant Conca then proceeded to meet with CVS employees, and Sergeant Conca advised the CVS employees, while Plaintiff was present, that the signs that Plaintiff had put up in the CVS lot had to be moved, despite the fact that the Safeway signs had been in the exact locations for years and the New Rochelle Police Department took no action against Safeway. Sergeant Conca further advised the CVS representatives that he would issue New Rochelle City Code violations to CVS if Plaintiff was allowed to 'boot' any more automobiles in that parking lot. (Plaintiff Exhibit 'E' ;Copy of police report attached).

- 23. On January 10, 2015 at approximately 9:44 p.m., Plaintiff Williams was working in the parking lot located at 277 North Avenue in the City of New Rochelle. There were several illegally parked vehicles in the lot. Plaintiff called in to the New Rochelle Police Department to report the boots that he was affixing to the illegally parked vehicles in the lot that he was responsible for. Plaintiff was informed at that time that the Desk Sergeant had issued an order that Plaintiff was required to call in only one boot for each telephone call, and that each 'boot' required a separate telephone call. Numerous New Rochelle police vehicles subsequently arrived at that parking lot at 277 North Avenue and confronted Plaintiff, who was trying to do his job. This incident was recorded by Plaintiff on video and audio recordings. When Plaintiff then drove to the New Rochelle Police Department to file a complaint, he was charged with three separate New Rochelle City Code violations. This is a stark example of the type of illegal harassment that Plaintiff has been subjected to by members of the New Rochelle Police Department for years. (Plaintiff Exhibit 'F' Copy of Plaintiff letter re: January 10, 2014 incident at 277 North Avenue attached).
- 24. On May 2, 2015 at approximately 5:21 p.m., Plaintiff Williams was working in the parking lot at 491 main Street in New Rochelle and he called in to the New Rochelle Police Department to report that he was booting two illegally parked automobiles. A female New Rochelle Police Officer subsequently pulled into the lot and was having a conversation with Plaintiff Williams, when Sergeant Conca arrived at the scene. Sergeant Conca ordered the female police officer to write Plaintiff summonses for violations of the City Code. (Plaintiff Exhibit 'G' attached).
- 25. On May 17, 2015 at approximately 4:45 p.m., Plaintiff called in to the New Rochelle Police Department to report two illegally parked vehicles in the lot at 491 Main Street

in New Rochelle. A police officer immediately arrived at the scene and cited Plaintiff for violating the New Rochelle City Code- calling in multiple boots with a single call. Plaintiff apparently is now required to call in one boot, hang up, and call back for each illegally parked vehicle. When Plaintiff does call back to the New Rochelle Police Department, the phone is often not answered, or he is put on 'hold' for a long period of time. As a result of the illegal and unfair conduct by members of the New Rochelle Police Department directed towards Plaintiff, Plaintiff's has suffered a significant loss of income. He is forced to respond to court to answer the summonses that he has repeatedly been issued. The New Rochelle Police Department is interpreting the law according to their own terms in order to justify issuing violations to Plaintiff. Plaintiff had been in business for approximately nineteen years, and had been calling in boots during that entire time. (Plaintiff Exhibit 'H' attached). For many years, Plaintiff has been forced, due to the conduct of members of the New Rochelle Police Department, to work in a hostile work environment. As late as June 21, 2015, Plaintiff has continued to be harassed by members of The New Rochelle Police Department and cited for violating provisions of the New Rochelle City Code, when in reality Plaintiff is merely engaging in lawful business practices, as he has for many years. (Plaintiff Exhibit T Civilian Complaint Form dated June 24,2014 attached).

26. For years, and on dozens of different occasions, before and after the original Complaint was filed, Plaintiff has personally gone to the New Rochelle Police Department Headquarters and met with Captain Kevin Kealy, and addressed police misconduct against him. Plaintiff has also met with Lieutenant Gary Robinson of the New Rochelle Police Department in person more than one dozen times with allegations of police misconduct by members of the New Rochelle Police Department after the Fifth Amended Complaint was filed June 27, 2014. Over

the past three years, Plaintiff has spoken with Lieutenant George Marshall of the New Rochelle Police Department on numerous occasions alleging police misconduct. On June 25, 2015 The New Rochelle Police Department sent letters to plaintiff Williams – all dated June 25, 2015, stating that his complaints had been investigated and that there was insufficient evidence to substantiate the complaint. (Plaintiff Exhibit 'J' – Four letters from Lieutenant Gary Robinson).

- 27. The conduct of The New Rochelle Police Department and the above-named members of that Department have caused Plaintiff to lose business and to lose business contacts. The illegal conduct against Plaintiff has not abated since Plaintiff filed a lawsuit, in fact quite the opposite, as evidenced by the attached reports. The New Rochelle Police Department and the above-named members of The New Rochelle Police Department have spoken with and convinced business owners and property owners in The City of New Rochelle to cease doing business with Plaintiff and Plaintiff's company Avalon Towing.
- 28. Plaintiff has always, during the entire course of his business career, engaged in lawful business practices. Plaintiff respectfully submits that, as an African-American, he has been a victim of racial discrimination and unfair treatment by The New Rochelle Police Department. Plaintiff's reputation in the business community has been irreparably damaged, as has Plaintiff's ability to earn a living in his profession.
- 29. The aforementioned acts of defendants deprived Plaintiff of the rights, privileges and immunities guaranteed to citizens of the United States by the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States of America, and in violation of 42 U.S.C. 1981 and 1983.

- 30. The acts complained of were carried out by the aforementioned defendants in their capacities as New Rochelle Police Officers, with the entire actual and/or apparent authority attendant thereto.
- 31. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff is entitled to compensatory damages and is further entitled to punitive damages against the defendants, plus reasonable attorney's fees, costs and disbursements of this action. Plaintiff is seeking \$20,000,000.00.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment and prays for the following relief against the Defendants;

- (A) Full and fair compensatory damages;
- (B) Punitive damages against the individually named defendants;
- (C) Reasonable attorney's fees and the costs and disbursements of this action; and
- (D) Such other and further relief as appears just and proper.

Dated: White Plains New York August 6, 2015

By:

RUSSELL B. SMITH, ESQ. 399 Knollwood Road Ste 220 White Plains, New York 10603

Attorney for Plaintiff

Llewellyn Angelo Williams

To: Brian J. Powers Deputy Corporation Counsel 515 North Avenue New Rochelle, New York 10801 (914) 997-0555

Case 7:13-cv-03315-NSR Document 78-1 Filed 10/16/15 Page 15 of 53 the owner or such other person authorized to operate the same without charging any fee whatsoever, provided only that said vehicle is immediately removed from the property.

E. In the event that a tow truck operator is about to remove an improperly parked vehicle from privately owned real property and has hooked up and engaged said vehicle to a towing truck but has not removed the vehicle from the property, said operator shall immediately release custody and control of the vehicle to the owner or such other person authorized to operate the same for the payment of a fee of \$15, and further provided that said vehicle is immediately removed from the property.

§ 316-3.1. Booting of vehicles.

[Added 3-19-2002 by L.L. No. 1-2002; amended 9-19-2006 by L.L. No. 8-2006]

A. An operator may boot an improperly parked vehicle on privately owned real property instead of towing it therefrom, but the fee for such booting shall not exceed \$45 plus tax, provided that, upon payment of such fee and the removal of the booting device, said vehicle is immediately removed from the property by the owner or operator thereof. Prior to booting an improperly parked vehicle, the operator shall display to the owner of such vehicle a valid license issued by the Police Commissioner pursuant to this chapter.

[Amended 10-16-2012 by Ord. No. 162-2012]

- B. Whenever a person engaged in the booting of vehicles affixes a boot to an unoccupied motor vehicle on privately owned real property under the direction of the owner or an individual acting on behalf of the owner of such property, said operator shall notify the New Rochelle Police Department immediately. [Amended 10-16-2012 by Ord. No. 162-2012]
- C. Whenever an operator affixes a boot to an unoccupied motor vehicle on private property, said operator shall affix a four-inch-by-seven-inch orange sticker to the driver's side window. Said sticker shall warn the driver that a boot is affixed to the vehicle and moving the vehicle could result in damage to the vehicle. The sticker shall include the name and address of the operator, as well as a telephone number the driver can call to arrange for the removal of the boot. [Amended 10-16-2012 by Ord. No. 162-2012]
- D. In the event that an operator boots an unoccupied motor vehicle on privately owned real property, the operator or an attendant must remove the boot from the vehicle within 15 minutes after being contacted by the vehicle's owner or operator. [Amended 10-16-2012 by Ord. No. 162-2012]
 - E. Whenever an operator has begun the process of booting an improperly parked vehicle onprivate property but has not yet locked the boot onto the vehicle, and the owner or
 operator of the vehicle offers to remove the vehicle from private property, said operator
 shall remove the boot from the improperly parked vehicle at no charge to the vehicle's
 owner or operator.
- F. No motor vehicle shall be booted by a person licensed pursuant to this section unless such licensee has been authorized to boot such motor vehicle pursuant to a written contract

between our hits consectants has medicus enaminate in a gilator of the property on which such motor vehicle is parked. Such contract shall also provide that such owner, lessee, managing agent or other person in control of the property shall be liable for any violation by such licensee or his or her employee or agents of any of the provisions of this section or of any rules promulgated pursuant to this section. [Added 10-16-2012 by Ord. No. 162-2012]

- G. An owner, lessee, managing agent or other person in control of property who has entered into a written contract with a person licensed pursuant to this section authorizing such licensee to boot motor vehicles parked on such property shall be liable for any violation by such licensee or such licensee's employees or agents of the provisions of this section, or of any rules promulgated pursuant to this section. [Added 10-16-2012 by Ord. No. 162-2012]
- H. No motor vehicle may be booted if: [Added 10-16-2012 by Ord. No. 162-2012]
 - (1) Such vehicle is occupied by any person or live animal;
 - (2) Such vehicle is parked on the roadway side of a vehicle stopped, standing or parked at the curb;
 - (3) Such vehicle is parked in a fire lane, or in front of or immediately adjacent to a fire hydrant, fire connection or building emergency exit;
 - (4) Such vehicle is an ambulance, correction vehicle, police vehicle, fire vehicle, civil defense emergency vehicle, emergency ambulance service vehicle, environmental emergency response vehicle, sanitation patrol vehicle, hazardous materials emergency vehicle, ordnance disposal vehicle of the Armed Forces of the United States;
- (5) Such vehicle bears a special vehicle identification issued in accordance with the provisions of § 1203-a of the Vehicle and Traffic Law or "MD" New York registration plates; and
- (6) Such vehicle is parked in a space reserved for vehicles displaying a handicap permit.
- I. No release or waiver of any kind purporting to limit or avoid liability for damages to a vehicle that has been booted shall be valid. In addition, any person who booted a vehicle, or other person authorized to accept payment of any charges for such booting, shall provide a signed receipt to the individual paying the booting charges at the time such charges are paid. Such receipt shall state the name, business address, business telephone number and license number of the person who has booted such vehicle as such information appears on the license to engage in booting, and such receipt shall also include a telephone number for the office within the Police Department responsible for receiving complaints with respect to booting. [Added 10-16-2012 by Ord. No. 162-2012]
- J. No charge shall be imposed for the booting of a vehicle when any person has committed a violation of this section, or any rules promulgated pursuant to this section with respect to

- K. The owner or person in control of a vehicle which has been booted by a licensee or such licensee's employee or agent shall be permitted to pay any charge for booting at the location where such vehicle was booted and the licensee, or other person authorized to accept payment, shall accept such payment in person by credit card in accordance with generally accepted business practices. [Added 10-16-2012 by Ord. No. 162-2012]
- L. Any vehicle which has been booted pursuant to this section must be returned to the owner or person in control of such vehicle within 24 hours of booting or such vehicle shall be towed by the operator in accordance with § 316-3 herein. [Added 10-16-2012 by Ord. No. 162-2012]

§ 316-4. Warning and informational signs. [Amended 9-30-1992 by Ord. No. 220-1992]

- A No owner of private property shall remove, permit the removal or booting of or cause to be removed or booted any motor vehicle from property owned by him unless there is erected and maintained upon such property, at the entrances and exits to such property, a sign containing a warning that parking thereon is restricted to authorized persons only, that unauthorized parking or trespassing is prohibited and that the vehicles of trespassers or unauthorized persons will be towed away or booted, and containing the further statement setting forth the address and telephone number where any person whose vehicle is removed may make inquiry to regain his vehicle. Such signs shall be not less than 12 inches by 18 inches in size, and lettering thereon shall be not less than one inch in size. Included on such sign shall be the fee amount authorized by the County of Westchester and the fee amount authorized by the City of New Rochelle pursuant to § 316-3E of the Code of the City of New Rochelle for hookup but not removal, and the fee amount authorized by the City of New Rochelle pursuant to § 316-3.1 of the Code of the City of New Rochelle for booting. [Amended 9-19-2006 by L.L. No. 8-2006; 10-16-2012 by Ord. No. 162-2012]
- B. All information on the above-required signs must be filed with the New Rochelle Police Department. The owner shall inform the Police Department, in writing, of any changes to the contents of such sign within 10 days of such change. The filing fee shall be as set forth in Chapter 133, Fees, for each filing. [Added 2-16-1993 by Ord. No. 45-1993]

§ 316-5. License required; exceptions.

[Added 10-16-2012 by Ord. No. 162-2012 Editor's Note: This ordinance also redesignated former § 316-5 as § 316-7.] No person shall conduct towing or booting as defined herein on private property without first having obtained a license as hereinafter provided. All licenses shall be issued for a one-year period.

§ 316-6. License applicant requirements. FAdded 10-16-2012 by Ord. No. 162-2012]

- A. The narge and address of the applicant open fixing in the case of a unincorporated association, each partner or member thereof, and in the case of a corporation, the name and address of each officer, director and stockholder thereof.
- B. The date of birth and citizenship of the applicant and each member thereof, if an unincorporated association, and of each officer, director and stockholder, if a corporation.
- C. The address of any and all depots, garages or body shops, whether located inside or outside the City, that will be used by the applicant in connection with his towing business.
- D. A statement as to whether the applicant or any partner, member, officer or director has, within the last five years, ever been convicted of a crime and, if so, the date, crime charged and sentence imposed.
- E. Whether or not the applicant has ever been denied a license for a tow truck, towing business or booting business by any governmental agency or authority or whether or not the applicant has ever been charged with chasing or whether or not any such license ever issued to the applicant has been suspended or revoked and, if so, the date, the location and the circumstances thereof.
- F. A list of all valid licenses held by the applicant which authorize him to conduct a towing or booting business.
- G. Whether this is an initial application or a renewal.
- H. Proof of insurance in accordance with City requirements.
- I. Any other relevant information which the Police Commissioner may require.

§ 316-7. Penalties for offenses.

Notwithstanding any other penalty provision of the Code of the City of New Rochelle, a violation of any provision of this chapter shall be punishable by a mandatory minimum fine for the first violation thereof of \$50, and by a mandatory minimum fine of \$100 for a second or subsequent offense, with the maximum fine in each and every instance which may be imposed not to exceed \$250.

§ 316-8. License fees.

[Added 10-16-2012 by Ord. No. 162-2012] A minimal fee of \$750 shall be paid for each license issued hereunder.

§ 316-9. Issuance of license.

[Added 10-16-2012 by Ord. No. 162-2012] Upon receipt of a properly completed application and payment of the requisite fee, the Police Commissioner shall issue a license to the applicant unless he determines:

- A. That the applicant is Vinit and is Nicapable of property and ticking a Volving or pageing of 53 business within the City of New Rochelle or does not conform to the provisions of this chapter; or
- B. That the applicant's garage, depot or auto repair shop used in connection with the towing business is in violation of any state or City law or regulation, including but not limited to zoning, building or fire law ordinances or regulations in the municipality in which it is located; or
- C. That the applicant has made a materially false statement or misrepresentation in his application.

§ 316-10. Transferability of license.

[Added 10-16-2012 by Ord. No. 162-2012] A license issued hereunder shall not be transferred or assigned to another person or vehicle, except as provided below.

§ 316-11. Suspension, revocation or refusal to renew license; hearing: appeals.

[Added 10-16-2012 by Ord. No. 162-2012]

- A. Any license issued hereunder may be suspended, revoked or refused to be renewed by the Police Commissioner or his designee after a hearing, upon five days' notice, if the licensee thereof shall violate any provision of this chapter, any rule or regulation adopted hereunder or any ordinance of the City or is charged with the violation of any vehicle and traffic law, ordinance or regulation of the State of New York or City of New Rochelle.
- B. A request for a hearing to reinstate a license or to contest a suspension, revocation or refusal to renew must be made, in writing, to the Police Commissioner and be sent by registered or certified mail or given in person within 10 days after the suspension, revocation or refusal to renew. A hearing will be held within 21 days after receipt of the licensee's request before the Police Commissioner or his designee and a decision rendered within three days. The licensee's license will remain suspended, revoked or expired until a decision is rendered by the Police Commissioner or his designee.
- C. Any licensee whose license has either been suspended, revoked or refused to be renewed pursuant to this chapter may appeal said suspension, revocation or refusal to renew to the Police Commissioner, within 30 days of the date thereof, by attaching a copy of the suspension or revocation.

§ 316-12. Enforcement.

[Added 10-16-2012 by Ord. No. 162-2012] This chapter shall be enforced by the Police Department.

January 30, 2014

Honorable Nelson S. Roman
United States District Judge
The Honorable Charles L. Brieant Jr.
Federal Building and United States District Court
300 Quarropas Street
White Plains, NY 10601-4150
13cv 3315(nsr)
Dear Judge Roman:

I am writing you this letter to about the new law New Rochelle Municipal Code (316) that severely inhibits my ability to make a living. This new law prohibits booting when there is an animal or human in the car parked illegally. When an individual that is left in the car doesn't have the capability to move the car, they're saying I can't boot the car. The boot is a device attached to one of the tires to immobilize the vehicle. This does no harm to any animal or human which makes the new law ridiculous. There are 19 vehicles that can't be touched when they illegally parked on private property and owner can't do nothing about it they can actually store their vehicles and the property and owner can't do nothing about it with this new law. Example: any of these 19 vehicles that's been named untouchable along with animal in the vehicle can parked the vehicle at the beginning of the driveway and block a car from getting in or out the driveway and nothing you can do about it under the new law

I am unable to always respond in fifteen minutes two removable from a vehicle that I had booted earlier in the day I might be in White Plains working at the time I work all over Westchester County this is another law that is ridiculous so the police department will write me a city code if I don't get back in 15 minute.

I submitted the license to Bennie the city clerk, he said it would take a couple days. For it to go through the process a week later I asked can I start booting now he said it hadn't been through the process yet or week after week after week he told me to same Story it's now a month and half later I'm still not working went to the police department and left a message to capt. Kealy and Lieut. Marshall if I'm not working in a couple of days I will add them to the federal lawsuit couple days later Benny call me and said I was allowed to start booting car again.

After six weeks Benny found the documents in a closed safe.

I have yet to receive my license.

Llewellyn Angelo Williams

Response for Angelo Williams lawsuit 9/18/14

Grievance:

Safeway presently holds the City contract for New Rochelle.

I have been in business with my company, Avalon Towing, since 2002.

Since the new law was implemented, I have personally witnessed two other competitor's go out of business. The law states that a company must hold at minimum a two million dollar insurance policy (a policy costs approximately \$2,800 per month, a yard within the City limits, which costs approximately 5k per month,

Fee for license \$750 and I must take credit card payments which customers call their banks afterward and stop payment. That's why this is a cash business. The difference between the rules that New Rochelle and Safeway have are different from what private property companies have to follow, The City of New Rochelle collects the cash for Safeway. Only a big company like Safeway can afford to survive with this new law. All small businesses can not survive this new law and it helps Safeway to monopolize all the towing and booting. It seems to me that this is a clear presence of monopolization as far as I can remember for the past 35 years.

This new law that has been implemented I should be exempt from because I've been in for 12 years. I should be grandfathered in from the new law. That would assist me in remaining in business. As of now, I can't afford the insurance and I can't even get a policy because the insurance requires that I have a tow company even though I only boot cars.

This is a infringement on private property.

The law states that if any animal or person is in the vehicle, you can't boot or tow indefinitely.

This law is silly. If someone parked in your driveway behind your vehicle and left a parakeet, I would be unable to boot or tow the vehicle.

Can you imagine if you came out to go to work and were unable to do so and this scenario was in play?

They also named between 17 and 19 cars that can park in your parking lot at anytime in a non-emergency capacity. Makes no sense and violates our rights.

Also, they enacted a law that says I must release a boot within 15 minutes from the time they call me. Scenario I work in White Plains about 25 minutes from New Rochelle without traffic. I get a call because the car 8 hours ago. Now I'm in White Plains. It's impossible for me to get back. This is a way for them to write a City code violation.

Safeway makes a million dollars a year compared to my \$50,000 per year, but I'm held to the same rules and regulations but as you can see below there is a huge disparity in what Safeway is allowed to charge for the City of New Rochelle.

Safeway also gets special privileges. They never get City code violations or harassed by the City of New Rochelle police department.

Arralan Tarrina

Below is the pricing allowed for the following services:

Towing \$140 Towing \$65 Booting \$110 (+ sticker \$26) Booting (\$45) no sticker fee Storage \$25 per day Storage \$5 per day	Sateway		Avaion 10	wing
Fee per mile \$8 Fee per mile \$1.25	Booting Storage	\$110 (+ sticker \$26)	Booting	(\$45) no sticker fee

The City of New Rochelle and the police department has prevented me from prospering through harassment tactics and favoritism towards Safeway towing the company that they've contracted to do the towing and booting. All the City lots, all the City streets, all the booting for tickets, all the towing, all aspects they have monopolized. Safeway has not even thrown a percentage to smaller companies, which breaks Federal laws.

I have gone the City Council three times asking to be able to be on the same playing field as Safeway, was denied each time. They are fully aware that Safeway and Avalon have the same operating costs but they are allowed to charge much more than I am.

13 0754 791 Case How				· ·	civ		whom in (1		
Howc	2 // 103315 NS	P(Z)	ent 78	-1_Filed	10/16/1	5 P	age	266F	57091	- 13
Jul 17 13 0754	an we safely contact you? (o	.g. Name, Phone)	TOTAL 10		ŧ		9		Run O W	alk-In
une (Last, First, M.L.) / (include aliases)			Į: K	Tions 757) 434	3697	g Mor	rt 1	1 .	Age 4	P OM
esacción III Labre Shores Dr Po njured? DNO OYes	Ertsmorth V.	A	APT#	23717		If non-E	nglish, la anish	nguage.	O Other:	7 70.10
jured? No O Yes	Rem	oved to Hospital?	O White	Black O As	ian O His				veds Esability	, requests):
·	אשן	lo O Yes If yes,	O America	ı Indian		-Hispanio			9.7	
escribe:	i	юзріта!7	O Other:		O va	nown			1.0	
are (Last First, M.L.) (include aliases) Nilliams Liewellyn	•		P	914) 632 %	3000	god.		ay Y	4 Ass.	ØM.
19 Union Ave			APT# Z	1080/		If non-E	nglish, la	rguage:	O Other:	OF
ijured? O No O Yes	Renk	ved to Hospital?	O White	Black OAs	ian O Hisp	піс	Prior I)V Histor		Yes Ø'N
escribe:		o O Yes 1/yes, ospital?	O Other:	Indian	Non- O Unkr	Hispanic sium	Prior D	V golice r	eport? O	Yes D'No
ESPECTOP2 LIVING	SITUATION	RELA	TIONSHIP	: (SUSPECT/P	to VICTIN	(/Pt)		fearful?	0.	Yes Ø N
130 parties currently live to	ngether? O Yes	Marrie	√i	O Form	A	,	Suspec	et: to weapo	ns2 ()	Yes O'N
Yes Dr. NO, have they lived toge	ether in the past? O Yes	S No O ruma	te Partner/L of victim/na	ating O Forme dv I O Parent	r Intimate/	Dating			story? O	
O NO Do the parties have a child	-in-common? OYes	Ø No Ø Relativ	re: Broth	✓ O Other:	от посыва	arty t			story? O	
Name (Section APIW/Gay of action)		F. Swins		Phone		Мощь	Day		Kelai konship to	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The second second second				9	 	1 3		<u> </u>
	<u> </u>	<u></u> -	<u> </u>		T _i			1 1		
							1			- y - 1
ck all that apply) O Impaired Al	cohol/Drugs O Pushing	**	O Threw It	.1	l O are	1	<u> </u>		3325.3	· ·
Bitting O Injury to Ch			O Unwante		O Threa	s: (specif) e/Kill P	g ersons	1	eat with w	=
	her Persons O Shooting		O Verbal A		🔾 կոյա	e/Kill S	elf		apons used	
(Estimated 1 O Injury to Pet Forced Entry O Interference	t/Animal O Slapping with Phone O Slamming	g Body	O Violated Custo	Vișitation/ dy Conditions	Oloju	e/Kill P	et/Anima) Blunt Obje) Gun	ect
occible Restraint O Intimidation	/Coercion O Stabbing	•	O OTHER S	uspect Actions:	O Take O Destr	CIIIIO ov/Take I	roperty		Motor Veh	icle
Hair Pulling O Kicking Homicide O Punching		tion/"Choking"			_		ioparij		Shagp Instr	ument
	O Suicide o				O Othe				Other:	<u> </u>
rrest Made?	Reasons arrest not made	on-scene; E) N	to Ultense (ommitted U1	No Probable	Cause	O Susp	ect Off-S	cene	
	O Wattant/Criminal Sum								Other:	
Offenses	Ław (eg. PL)	Section (Sub)	1 99	enses involved:	(check all the	t opplys	O Fe	logy		
•				Misdemeanor						
			Ois Ois	sistry Checked? ler of Protection	Yes Yes	O No	Or Co	urt Name:		~
	1 1	····	Sta	y Away Order?	O Yes	S No	Ω_{Out}	of State	O Tribal	Supreme
		i	1 ~ 10x	cr Violated?	O Yes	Ø No	Expiration	Month	Day	Year
			$\mathbf{I} \cup \mathbf{I}_{i}$. DOTOD 4 -	. A					2
			Υ Α π	PRIOR orders	O Yes	Ø №	Desc			
es Taken? IF YES, photos taken of:	O Victim Injuries O	Suspect Injuries	AIT AIT	AND YOUNG	O Yes	9 No				
	O Victim Injuries O	Suspect Injuries	AIT AIT	PART A GENTLAN Gence collected?	O Yes	9 No				
es Ne O Scene O Damaged Pa	O Victim Injuries O: roperty O Other:	Suspect Injuries	Other evi	PACES / D deuce collected? lescribe:	O Yes	9 No O No	(Comole	te 710.30	or other form	 Witen
es S No O Scene O Damaged Para of investigation and basis of action taken. (#	O Victim Injuries O: roperty O Other:	Suspect Injuries	Other evi	PACES / D deuce collected? lescribe:	O Yes	9 No O No	(Comple	se 710.30 d	or other form	when
es S No O Scene O Damaged Proof investigation and basis of action taken. (#	O Victim Injuries O: roperty O Other:	Suspect Injuries	Other evi	PACES / D deuce collected? lescribe:	O Yes	9 No O No	(Comple	te 710.30 d	n other form	when
es No O Scene O Damaged Paraged Parage	O Victim Injuries O: roperty O Other:	Suspect Injuries	Other evi	PACES / D deuce collected? lescribe:	O Yes	9 No O No	(Comple	de 710.30 d	n other form	when
es No O Scene O Damaged Pres of investigation and basis of action taken. (W	O Victim Injuries O stroperty O Other:	Suspect Injuries meous admissions a	Other evi IF YES, or respontaneous	PACES / D deuce collected? lescribe:	O Yes	9 No O No	(Comple	te 710.30 d	n other form	when
es No O Scene O Damaged Paraged Parage	O Victim Injuries O stroperty O Other:	Suspect Injuries	Other evi IF YES, or respontaneous	PACES / D deuce collected? lescribe:	O Yes	9 No O No	(Comple	4e 710.30 d	n other form	when
Yes No O Scene O Damaged Press of investigation and basis of action taken. (W	O Victim Injuries O stroperty O Other:	Suspect Injuries meous admissions a	Other evi IF YES, or respontaneous	PACES / D deuce collected? lescribe:	O Yes	9 No O No	(Comple	ec 710.30 d	n other form	when
es No O Scene O Damaged Pres of investigation and basis of action taken. (W	O Victim Injuries O stroperty O Other:	Suspect Injuries meous admissions a	Other evi IF YES, or respontaneous	PACES / D deuce collected? lescribe:	O Yes	9 No O No	(Comple	#446 530 de 710.30 d	or other form	when
es No O Scene O Damaged Pres of investigation and basis of action taken. (W	O Victim Injuries O stroperty O Other:	Suspect Injuries meous admissions a	Other evi IF YES, or respontaneous	PACES / D deuce collected? lescribe:	O Yes	9 No O No	(Comple	24:25 de 710.30 d	er other form	when
es No O Scene O Damaged Pres of investigation and basis of action taken. (W	O Victim Injuries O stroperty O Other:	Suspect Injuries meous admissions a	Other evi IF YES, or respontaneous	PACES / D deuce collected? lescribe:	O Yes	9 No O No	(Comple	de 710.30 d	n other form	when
Yes No. O Scene O Damaged Pros of investigation and basis of action taken. (#	O Victim Injuries O Stropesty O Other: Tere excited utterances, sponta	Suspect Injuries meous admissions a	Other evi	dence collected's describe: s statements made	O Yes O Yes	O No	(Comple	de 710.30 d	n other form	when
See Sono O Scene O Damaged Proceedings of investigation and basis of action taken. (Worker) Guns in House? O'Yes O'No Any.	O Victim Injuries O Stoperty O Other:	Suspect Injuries meous admissions a	Other evi	PACES / D deuce collected? lescribe:	O Yes O Yes	O No			or other form	
See No O Scene O Damaged Pres of investigation and basis of action taken. (Worke) Guns in House? O'Yes O'No Any of it #(s):	O Victim Injuries O Stopesty O Other:	Suspect Injuries meous admissions a	Augusta evil 18 YES, or spontaneous	dence collected's describe: s statements made: Has Pistol Penue on Pennit(s):	O Yes O Yes O Yes	O No	Pen			
Come in House? O'Yes O'No Any Content representation and basis of action taken. (#	O Victim Injuries O Stoperty O Other:	Suspect Injuries meous admissions of Marken No Househo	Other evi IF YES, or reportaneous FTIVE	desce collected describe: s statements made: Has Pistol Pen ne on Pennit(s): saltreatment	O Yes O Yes O Yes O Yes O Yes	O No O No	Pen			
Guns in House? O'Yes O'No Any of the reasonable cause to suspect a PES, officer must contact the N'Yes, officer must contact the N'Yes of the N'Yes	O Victim Injuries O Stoperty O Other:	Suspect Injuries meous admissions of The Market No Househo im of abuse, i	Other evi IF YES, or spontaneous FTIVE Name of the content of th	dence collected describe: s statements made: Has Pistol Pente on Pentit(s): saltreatment, 'RY # 1-806	O Yes O Yes O Yes O Yes or endang	O No O No O No	Pen	nit Seize	d? OYes	
Guns in House? OYes ONe Any of the reasonable cause to suspect a SES, officer must contact the NY SEECT ON PAROLE OR PROBATIO	O Victim Injuries O Stopesty O Other:	Suspect Injuries meous admissions of The Alacka No Househo im of abuse, i HOTLINE	Other evi IF YES, or apontaneous FTIVE Id Member Name of the control of the co	Has Pistol Pen the on Pennius; altreatment, TRY # 1-800	O Yes	O No O No O No O No O Do	Pen	nit Seize	d? OYes	
Guns in House? O'Yes O'No Any o' it #(s): EYES, officer must contact the N'I USPECT ON PAROLE OR PROBATIO Probation O Parole O Not Su	O Victim Injuries O Status U Topesty O Other:	No Househo im of abuse, 1 HOTLINE	Other evi IF YES, or spontaneous TIVE Id Member Name of the control of the con	Has Pistol Pen ne on Pennin(s): altreaments by NITIATED BY tive Services (or	O Yes	O No O No O No O No O Do	Pen	nit Seize	d? OYes	O No
Guns in House? O'Yes O'No Any of the reasonable cause to suspect a PES, officer must contact the NY SPECT ON PAROLE OR PROBATION O'Parole O'Not Sur Signature (& Rank)	O Victim Injuries O Stopesty O Other:	No Househo im of abuse, i HOTLINE	Other evi IF YES, or spontaneous ATIVE Id Member Nan REGIST NTACTS I Child Protect Mouth Da	Has Pistol Pen ne on Pennin(s): altreaments by NITIATED BY tive Services (or	O Yes	O No O No O No O No O Do	Pen	nit Seize	d? OYes	
Guns in House? OYes ONo Any of the reasonable cause to suspect a YES, officer must contact the NY SPECT ON PAROLE OR PROBATIO Probation O Parole O Not Su	O Victim Injuries O Status U Topesty O Other:	No Househo im of abuse, i HOTLINE	Other evi IF YES, or spontaneous TIVE Id Member Name of the control of the con	Has Pistol Pen ne on Pennin(s): altreaments by NITIATED BY tive Services (or	O Yes	O No O No O No O No O Do	Pen	nit Seize	d? OYes	O No
Guns in House? O'Yes O'No Any of the reasonable cause to suspect a PES, officer must contact the NY SPECT ON PAROLE OR PROBATION Probation O Parole O Not Sure Signature (& Rank)	O Victim Injuries O Status U Topesty O Other:	No Househo im of abuse, i HOTLINE	Other evi IF YES, or spontaneous ATIVE Id Member Nan REGIST NTACTS I Child Protect Mouth Da	Has Pistol Pen ne on Pennin(s): altreaments by NITIATED BY tive Services (or	O Yes	O No O No O No O No O Do	Pen	nit Seize	d? OYes	O No
is of investigation and basis of action taken. (Workels) Some in House? O'Yes O'No Any. (See Free Free Free Free Free Free Free F	O Victim Injuries O Status U Topesty O Other:	No Househo im of abuse, i HOTLINE	Other evi IF YES, or spontaneous ATIVE Id Member Nan REGIST NTACTS I Child Protect Mouth Da	Has Pistol Pen ne on Pennit(s): saltreatment, TRY # 1-800 Nittated by tive Services (or	O Yes	O No O No O No O No O No	Pen	nit Selze OYes iolence S	d? OYes ONo	O No

Case 7:13-c New Bothelle Collient Department of 16/15 Page 27 of 53

Detective's Supplemental Narrative Report

Case No.: Event No.: NR-CR-03091-13

NR-EV-35078-13

Case Date:

7/17/2013

Reviewing Officer: POLICE OFF CASTIGLIA, ADAM

Review Date: 07/17/2013

Shield No.:

1115

Serial No.:

9884

Review Subject:

At 0800hrs PO Siller and I responded on a verbal dispute. Upon arrival P1/ stated she is having a verbal dispute with her brother P2/ over ownership of a vehicle. P1/ stated the car was willed to her by their mother after she passed away in June 2013. P1/ provided notarized documentation clearly indicating that she is now the person responsible of the vehicle NY-DAW8788. It should also be noted that P1/ also had the vehicle's keys, registration and title in her possession, vehicle was valid. P1/ stated she is unaware where the license plates for the vehicle are. P2/ claims he has no information on the whereabouts of the license plates. P1/ was provided with an MV78-b should the license plates remain missing. ROs responded with P1/ and P2/ to the private parking lot of 122 Union Ave. RO observed that P2/ had placed and illegal boot on vehicle/NY-DAW8788. RO advised P2/ to remove the boot from the vehicle as P2/ did not have the authority to boot any vehicles in this lot. It should be noted that this parking lot was clearly marked by a sign indicating it was the responsibility of SafeWay Towing to enforce any type of booting or towing activity at this parking lot. Both parties left the area without further incident both were advised to address the matter in civil court. (VAC issued, HQ advised)

Status:

Signature of Reporting Officer.

Signature of Supervising Officer:

Case 7:13-cv-03315-NSR Document Civilian Complaint Form (CO3)

Reporte De Quejas Civiles

Complaint No/ Núm. de Queja o Reclamo LEAVE BLANK /Deje el espacio en blanco

35078-13

8-1 Filed 10/16/15 Page 28 of 53

New Rochelle Police Department 475 North Avenue New, Rochelle NY 10801

Please deliver in person or mail completed form to: INTERNAL AFFAIRS UNIT at the above address. Please make a copy for your records. Por Favor de entregar este formulario al cuartel en persona, o de enviarlo a: INTERNAL AFFAIRS UNIT, à la dirección mencionada arriba. Por favor, haga una copia para sus archivos.

Hora y fecha del reporte 10:37 Am Ho	ate and Time of Incident (DD/MAVYTYT, ERS Dray fecha dell'incidente	Lugar del incidente	122 Union Ave
07-11 2012	7-17-2013 8AM-84	MAN NEW KECH	ene 10.7.10801
1	est Name imer Nombre ANGC/0	Date of Birth (DDMMOTTY) Fecha de Nacimento OS-27-64	Race (Raza BLACK
Direction Fostal / Apt o Piso 22 Charles Ave A Ven Rochtelle Ny	ry, State, Zip Code udgd, Estado Whoohule MY	Day Phone Tel. Diá PIY 54 3 619	Evening Phone Tel. Noche
Witness's Last Name First Name Primer Nombre Primer Nomb		ddress/ Apartment or floor Postal /Apt. o Piso	Day Phone/ Everting Phone Tel. Dia/ Tel. Noche
Time and Date of Occurrence/ Hora y lecha del in 075 4 #45 17 Jul 1	-	UNION AV-	
Name of Police department Member(s) involved description. Nombre del policia(s)-si lo desconoc RO HAAA CASHSA		placa Division/Divi	ción
Nature of Complaint/Tipo de querella/Queja:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u></u>	
Arrest /Arresto	Other Complaint/ Otro tipo d Oueja	e reclamo/ Sexual Hare	ssment/Acoso Sexual
Detention/ Detención	Search of Property/ Allanmier propiedad ,	to de Theft by Off	icer/Robo por un Policia(s)
Excessive Force/ Uso de fuerza excesiva	Property Lost by Officer/ Prop perdida por policia(a)	Search of Pe persona	ascul/Cateo (Registro) de su
Hate or Bias/ incidente basado en odio o preju	icio Racial Profiling/Descriminaci	verbal Abus	e/Abuso verbal
Details of Complaint (Use reverse side of paper if natras de éste formulario)	tore space is required/ Proven los detalle	s de reclamo/ Queja. (Si necesita	más espacio usar la parte de
	· :		
Complainani's Signature/ Firms del recienguje,	Date/ Pechra Police of	cer Received Constitut Form	Agente de figlicia reciviendo
angelo William	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TIN MUN	WILL THE O

Case 7:13-cv-03315-NSR Document 78-1 Filed 10/16/15 Page 29 of 53

Today Wednesday, July 17, 2013 Approx about 8:00 O'clock New Rochelle police was call to 99 Union Ave to handle a dispute attain to my late mother car that was park in a private lot. The car is register and insure under my late mother Alice Williams. The Car was left in possession of the young son Kevin Williams. My sister Felicia come to New York and call New Rochelle Police to tell them that car belong to her and that she wants to know where the plates for the car. She has no title for the car and I was telling the officers that I don't know anything about the car belonging to her. The car either belongs to my brother Kevin or all of us. None of us has seen anything stating otherwise. I told the officer that I was going file the death certificate with the court in White Plains to let the judge figure it out. The two officer decide to let my sister Felicia drive the car without no valid license plate. This is reason why I'm filing a report against the two New Rochelle Police officers. A. W

Angelo Williams



Control# 11406

Westchester County Surrogate's Court

111 Dr Martin Luther King Jr Blvd 19th Floor White Plains, NY 10601 (914)824-5656 Fax: (914)995-3728

> Joseph M Accetta Chief Clerk

December 18, 2013

RE: ALICE WILLIAMS

TO: L WILLIAMS

Dear Sir/Madam:

THIS IS TO CERTIFY, that a search of the records of this office regarding the above stated person was performed and resulted in no records being found.

Dated and Attested on December 18, 2013,

Joseph M. accetta

Joseph M Accetta Chief Clerk Freed 3/2/2013 03:38:25 466 MAIN ST Case 7:13-cv-03315-NSR Document 78-1 Filed 10/16/15 Page 32 of 53

Unit: 20

Unit Description: 20

Backup

Unit Status History:

Status	Status Date/Time	Location
Dispatch	3/2/2013 03:11:07	·
Arrived At Scene	3/2/2013 03:20:24	
Freed	3/2/2013 03:28:45	466 MAIN ST

Event People

Caller Name

SHERMAN, VICTORIA

Date of Birth

1/12/1977

Gender F Female

CALLER

Height

Eye Color

Address

466 MAIN ST New Rochelle, NY 10801-6431

Phone Number

(917) 992-2111

Name

/ AVALON TOWING, ANGELO

Date of Birth

Gender

Role

Role

Height

Eye Color

Address

Associated Numbers

Narrative

cmichaels - 3/2/2013 - 15:00:58

COMP IN BMW X5 STATES HAVING VERBAL 10-8 WIAVALON TOWING

jdiaz - 3/3/2013 - 08:00:43

Sgt Inzeo, PO Lore, PO Chern and I responded to incident location in regards to a dispute over a boot. Upon arrival comp stated he vehicle NY-FP88569 was booted by Pil but she has a permit to park at location. I did see the permit on the drivers side rear window. Pil stated he did not see the permit and that is why he placed the boot on the car. Pil then removed the boot and both parties when on their way.

Approved By:

Date: 3/3/2013 9:19 AM

SERGEANT BRADY, MATTHEW J

Shield/Serial No. 20 / 7051

Printed on: 7/29/2013 at 12:23 PM

Event No. NR-EV-10220-13



Deira 9 of 2

Filed 10/16/15 Page 33 of 53 Event No. NR-EV-10220-13 Event Date 3/2/2013 3:00 PM Created By MICHAELS, CHRISTOPHER **Desk Officer** SALERNO, JOSEPH Desk Officer Rank **SERGEANT** Desk Officer Serial No. 8855 Desk Officer Shield No. 35 Call Type DISPUTE How Received **TELEPHONE Business Name** Location of Assignment 466 MAIN ST NEW ROCHELLE, NY 10801-6431 Post Disposition SERVICED BY CAD Dispatch Date 3/2/2013 15:02:00 Received Date 3/2/2013 15:00:00 Start Time **Total Time** 15:06:00 End Time **Event Personnel** Name DIAZ, JOSE **POLICE OFF** Rank Serial No. 10262 Shield No. 1113 Name CHERN, HUNG-HAW Rank **POLICE OFF** Serial No. 10179 Shield No. 1095 Name LORE, FRANK **POLICE OFF** Rank Serial No. 9206 Shield No. 1039 Name INZEO, JOHN Rank SERGEANT Serial No. 8224 Shield No. 3 **Event Units** Unit: 02 Unit Description: 2 Primary Unit Status History: Status Status Date/Time Location Dispatch 3/2/2013 03:02:25 Arrived Al-Scene 8/2/2019 03/08/31 3/2/2013 03:38:11 Freed 466 MAIN'ST Unit: 03 Unit Description: 3 Backup Unit Status History: Status Status Date/Time Location Dispatch 3/2/2013 03:02:29 Arrived At Scene 3/2/2013/03:07:29+ Freéd 3/2/2013 03:38:18 466 MAIN ST Unit: 16 Unit Description: 16 Backup **Unit Status History:** Status Status Date/Time Location 3/2/2013 03:05:57 Dispatch Printed on: 7/29/2013 at 12:23 PM Event No. NR-EV-10220-13 Page 1 of 2

A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	da sriba Portavo negali e 7:13-CV-U3315-IV	Contract of the second	· - ·	The second second	15 Page 34 o	f 53
am and Time Reported (un of a ylecha del reporte	Boray fecha de	il Incident (mor ii incidente	kortext, mis) Loss	ation of Incident ar del incidenta	Market (M. 1995) and the Control of the Section (1995) and the Secti	AMOR INVITA
:49 Pm 2	13/12 399 Em	2/3	40 E. M	S-1025 1	with Art	. Sandar
Contract of the Contract of th	1 2 2 2		(a)		MALL IN W.	
omplainant's last Name delido del reclambine	First Name Primer Nombre	10	Fecha de	litti (minimeterri) Nacimento	Rora	a marine
	is LAnc	010	()f-	72-1912	4 Blan	Y
illing A. d. best/Apirtmen		ode	Day Phon	-1-110	Pyening Phone	
2 Minto	AR MIN	Rahelk	1801 91	1-721	1911 Noone	
Hinese's Last Name Jellio del (estigo(s)	Print Name Primer Nombre	Age Edad	Mailling Address/Apal		Day Phone/Evelling P	liatie
remo nei iondo(2)	1	EUHG	Direction Pastal /Apt. o	rso	Tel Din/Tel Noche	
	La Commence			·	-	
	and the second s		S . 2	<u></u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
meniaPaeddocurenc	b//Hora y fecha del fincidente		ocation/dagar & Car			
2007	100	Ž	25 North	Ave N	wxxhelle	JE;
me of Police department t	lember(s) hivolved-if unknown, p	rovide F	Shield#	Division/ Divi	dδa	
scription Nambre del poli	da(s)- si lo desconoce, proveer de	scripcion	Número deplaca		 	J.
gt Conco			•		<u> </u>	. اریس
PO Sch	esender					
		5			والمراجع والمحتوي	marke die
lature of Contrigued Tipo o	e querella/ Queja:					2
Accest/Accesso	F-0	llier Complatin/ vieja	Otro tipo de reclamo/	Sexual Hara	ssment/Accso Sexual,	* 94 1
	and the same of th					
Determent Determine		earch of Property, ropledad	Allammiento de	Theft by Off	cer/ Robo por un Policia(i)
	Approximation of the second	The state of the s				***************************************
Excessive Force/Use de		operty lost by Ot redicts per policie	ficer/Propleded x(s)	Search of Pe	reon/ Caleo (Beglistro) de	*
N.	TOPO And the second se	are de la companya de	•	_/		
Hate or Blad incidente h	endò en odio o prefeicio	can bronning/pe	ocriminación	Verbal Abou	e/Abasoverbal	The state of the s
alis of Complaint (Use rey	me stayof paper fragmy apare is	Sample Service	al agains de applica	Odoja Gistocostia LCJ	nils epicasism is Outo	26-71 ···
A CONTRACTOR OF	IBJON 10WA				T CVS	
gr. Conco.	PHILE HAN	CVS	lot obse	VXEY D	007 OJ CA	
T. Uavis	EL P.U. TO	ilesen	yer w	COLTO	CT AND	1017
		44.45				<u> </u>
uplainent's Signature/Firm	a Gel Teclemente	tte/Fecha	Police officer Receiving esta información	Complaint Friend	ligente de policia recivié	ndla .
			the stages	<u>. </u>		.
			tous e		-	
120 1 46		- Charles	- De Sister			

3/9/12 Spoke of Angels. Apused him that LT. Manuell adhorsing the problem.

Case in and time Reported (und		me of incident (i	Loc	ed 10/16/15	Page 36 of 5	7 1 1
49Pm 2	13/12 2491	aeumaaenie 9 171 - Ly	Isig 1776 U	ar del fucide nto : S-(025 /	both the	oder is
omplainant's last Name selluio del reclamante	First Name Primer Mont	bře	Date of Bi Fecta de	illi (fibraturi rii) Necimento	Race	3. August
Alling Add Said Apartment nection Populary April Prop		G010	Day Phon	7-1910	4 Black	
<u> 2 Airter</u> Inese e Last Name	Ave Nu	Mache	1879-7-14 ATT TO THE OWNER OF THE	1-5636	197	ي مند
ello del lestgia;	Primor Wolfibre	Edilid	Mailling Address/Apal Direction Postal/Apt. o	ment or floor Piso	DayPhone/Evelmig Pla Tel. Dia/Tel. Noche	one
***************************************				·		N 400 1-
nemalia (boxanac)	Aloray, echa del mudante	4/3	Tocation/Logar	Lua M	1. P. h. W.	
me of Police department Ma scription. Nombre del polici	iniber(s) involved if unknown (s) si lo désconoce, proveer	i, provide descripcion	Shield# Nimero de placa	Division/ Divic	COSCLACIONE DE LA COSCLACIÓN DE LA COSCL	
gt Corec						
1.().50N	esenger	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				isas salata
unite of Conquisini/Tipo de	querella/ Queja:	Other Complete	it/ Oiro:tipo do reclaino/		The second secon	
Detention Detention		Queja	cty/ Allenmiento de		nnent/Acoso Sexual,	
Excessive Parce Use de fo	and the second s	propledid	A Company of Company	1 Tien by Offic	er/Robo por un Policia(s)	
and the same of th	TEA CACCAIVE	Property Loss by pardids por po	Officer/Propledad Cia(s)	Search of Pen	on/Caleo (Registro) de su	
Hate or Blas / incidente less	domodio prefitcio	Facial Profitting	Sescrittinación	Twested Abuse	Abreovered	
te of Congrishint (Use terfine de Este Kamillarro)	276h 7007		otest Tex	CIR O	1-215	
t. Odvise	THE INT	hlese	nger to	Conta	OF DIEM	07
laftiant's Dignature/Pirits	el reclamante	Oate/Fecha	College of fiver flee conving (compliant come	inuté de policia recivicad	
		\$1	tera información			
		44.A	tana sa	_,	- 	- . /
29 lalt min	ge fri Angels-		the sinker of	9 \$		*************************************

3/9/12 Spoke of Augels. Apused him that LT Manaell addressing the problem.

Time boot called in 9:44 p.m.-January 10, 2015

I was calling in a few boots from 277 North Avenue, New Rochelle, NY at Bank of America. At that time the officer informed me on the phone that the desk sergeant told him I could only call in one boot at a time. I told the officer that's not in the law and that anytime I get boots if its one, two, three or four I will call them all in at that same time because I will not give them a reason to write me a city code like they will and I will not lose money by waiting for them to answer the phone when they get ready for me to call another boot in. I then proceeded to tell the officer to tell his desk sergeant that if he had something to say he could come and tell me himself and within about twenty minutes an officer pulled up. At that time I was putting a bigger boot on a truck that I had already called in. The truck was in the corner by the bushes, I was walking out from putting that boot one and when I got up my pants were a little loose so I was adjusting my pants. He said that I was peeing and I told him that's ridiculous I just finished putting a boot on. At that time he then said I 'm going to write you a city code anyway for peeing and I told him that I wasn't peeing and he didn't see my penis out at any time. So then they had 5 cop cars come there it looked like a circus and then they finally left after about 25 minutes to a half hour and I waited for about another 25 minutes to 40 minutes and I went to the police department to file a complaint. They didn't take the complaint but they gave me a complaint form to fill out. At the time while I was in the police station another supervisor came out and asked me that there was three cars booted in the parking lot and he wanted to know if there was stickers on the windows if he goes there and I said yes. If you go there now the stickers are on the windows, I still hadn't gotten the complaint form from the police department so the supervising officer went back to Bank of America and while all three stickers are on the window he decided he was going to write me a city code for not having a sticker on one of the cars and his reason for doing that was he said earlier when he was on the scene going back 40 minutes or a hour ago he said he observed that it didn't have a sticker and so that's why he's writing the city code. And then they proceeded to look around to find a reason to write me a city code deliberately and they said there are signs inside the parking lot but not immediately at the entrance. I told them I had a sign there and either it blew down or someone pulled it down you can see on the pole where the ties for the sign and the glue is on the pole that would suggest

there was a sign posted there. I explained to the officer the reason and his intentions were to write a city code violations on me to get back at me for threatening to file this complaint against the officer for writing me a ticket for a bogus city code that he made up.

The officers name that either written the bogus ticket for peeing his last name is Wilson or he ordered a officer to write the city code for him for peeing.

The supervisor ordered this police officer badge number 9404 to write me a city code for not having a sticker on the car and not having a sign on the actual entrance where he could see there was ties and glue on the pole so instead of him saying look, you have to put up some another sign and instead of me a city code knowing that a sign was there. This is nothing but harassment this has happened time after time the judge seen what I showed before that I had a sign there and he throws it out because its common sense that people do that all the time either pull it down or the wind blows it down. These police officers haven't been reprimanded since Ive been in business for doing the things they've constantly been doing to me that's why they continue to do it. The supervisors or the internal affairs time and time find these rogue officers of no wrong doing even though I beat the charges in court or the charges get dismissed these supervisors and internal affairs must have a disconnect when it comes to police misconduct. The harassment has yet to stop as soon as I start calling in lots of boots that's when the harassment comes from the police department its as if they're counting the money they believe I'm making or they don't want to be bothered with taking the calls for the boots this does not happen with Safeway in the history of Safeway towing and supervisors and internal affairs can't put the connection together that I am being harassed or they don't want to. I am going to file another lawsuit this week on internal affairs and all supervisors pertaining to any incidents with me because you haven't done nothing to stop it and that's why it continues to happen. I also video taped the five police cars at the scene as if I'd raped a white woman. You know what they used to do to black men if they thought they raped a woman, they hang 'em like these police officers are doing me. So I expect yall to turn another blind eye I wouldn't expect nothing less. So here's my report.

On Saturday, May 2, 2015, at 5:21 p.m., I was at Chase Bank on 491 Main Street. I called in two boots to the New Rochelle police department. The officer that answered the phone he would take the information, as i was holding on a female officer pulled in the parking lot and asked me whats going on Angelo. I said, I'm holding on waiting to call in the two boots, she said I could only call in one boot at a time and i told her thats not what it says in New Rochelle law. I said that law says to call in the boot immediately and thats what I was doing, she called headquarters and asked them what do the actual law say, they told her it say notify immediately. She wasn't going to write me a city code, her superior officer Sgt. Conca then pulled into the parking lot and ordered to write a city code for failure to notify police. The same officer that Im suing. This is noting but harassment and the reason why is today I called in 5 boots at the same location and the officer took the 5 boots and told me while I'm there if I get any more boots while I'm there call them in a one a time. That makes more sense. If I come and they're four boots there already I can call them all in immediately. Like I said in my deposition they try to look for a reason to write me a city code by running down to the location to see if the boot is called in and thats why they want me call them in one at a time. Its nothing but harassment, all you have to do is check when I call in the boots since the beginning of January and most to the daytime most of the officers will except me calling in multiple boots at a , the nighttime officers are the ones that harass me and they are determined to find ways to write me a city code, they constantly violate my civil rights and I called internal affairs and they do nothing about whats going on here. The police department doesn't have no leadership they allow this to go on

Case 7:13-cv-03315-NSR Document 78-1 Filed 10/16/15 Page 4370 53

Civilian Complaint Form (CO3) Reporte De Quejas Civiles



Complaint No/
Núm. de Queja o Reclamo
LEAVE BLANK /Deje el espacio en blanco

New Rochelle Police Department 475 North Avenue New, Rochelle NY 10801

Please deliver in person or mail completed form to: INTERNAL AFFAIRS UNIT at the above address. Please make a copy for your records. Por Favor de entregar este formulario al cuartel en persona, o de enviarlo a: INTERNAL AFFAIRS UNIT, a la dirección mencionada arriba. Por favor, haga una copia para sus archivos.

Pote and Time Personal (on one	Date and Min						
Hora y fecha del reporte Hora y fecha		ne of Incident (DD/MM/XXXX, HRS) dell incidente		Location of Incident Lugar del incidente	Location of Incident Lugar del incidente		
05/22/2015	5/22/2015 05/1		1/2015		491 Main Street		
Complainant's Last Name Apellido del reclamante		First Name Primer Nombre		Date of Birth (pp/mm/rrrr) Fecha de Nacimento	Race Raza		
Williams	Ang	elo		08/27/201	5 Black		
Mailing Address/ Apartment or floor Direction Postal /Apt. o Piso	City, State, Zi Ciudad, Estad	io		Day Phone Tel. Diá	Evening Phone Tel. Noche		
22 Clinton Ayenue		ochelle, N		914-563-679	9		
Witness's Last Name First Nam Apellio del testigo(s) Primer No	-	Age Edad		dress/Apartment or floor estal/Apt. o Piso	Day Phone/ Evening Phone Tel. Dia/ Tel. Noche		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1				
Time and Date of Occurrence (III					1		
Time and Date of Occurrence/ Hora y feel	la dei incidente		Location/Lug				
Name of Police department Member(s) in description. Nombre del policia(s)- si lo d	volved- if unknown esconoce, proveer	, provide descripcion	Shield # Número de p	Division/ Divi	ción		
White female		1035					
	er Superi						
J							
Nature of Complaint/Tipo de querella/	Queja:						
Ārrest/Ārresto	×	Other Complaint/ Otro tipo de reclamo/ Sexual Harassment/ Acoso Sexual Harassment/ Acoso Sexual					
Detention/ Detención	-	Search of Propert			icer/ Robo por un Policia(s)		
		propiedad		•			
Excessive Force/ Uso de fuerza exces	iva 「	Property Lost by Officer/ Propiedad perdida por policia(s) Search of Person/ Cateo (persona			rson/ Cateo (Registro) de su		
Hate or Bias/ incidente basado en odi	o prejuicio	Racial Profiling/D	escriminación	Verbal Abus	e/ Abuso verbal		
Details of Complaint (Use reverse side of patras de éste formulario)		is required/ Prove	ea los detalles d	de reclamo/ Queja. (Si necesita	más espacio usar la parte de		
See Attac	hed						
				•			
		·					
Complainant's Signature/Firma del reclam	ante	Date/ Fecha	Police off	Paradia			
mplainant's Signature/Firma del reclamante Date/Fecha Police officer Receiving Complaint Form/Agente de policia recivience ésta información							

City Code 316-3.1B

NY Rochelle police officer #10389 wrote a city code on May 17, 2015 at 4:45 or 5:45 p.m. at 491 Main Street . I have a video recording of this incident.

I called in two boots, and they took information for the first car and then they asked me what's the information for the second car and within minutes the officer came down and said to me that she was going to be writing me a city code for calling in multiple boots and I said how are you writing me a city code and they took they excepted me calling in the two boots. Again this is a way to that they can harass me by using their authority to continue to keep me from making a living. Using their crazy interpretation of the law that state's "notify immediately" which is exactly what I did. The definition of "immediately",according to Webster's Dictionary is "at once, right away, instantly, now, directly, promptly, forthwith, this/that (very) minute, this/that instant, there and then, then and there, on the spot, here and now, without delay, without further ado, posthast ", which is exactly what I did. I've been calling in boots this way for 19 years or more.

This new law has the same language as the old law pertaining to notifying police. The new law has been in effect for two years or more.

Just recently, on January 10, 2015, I started out at Bank of America calling in boots. The first call was 3 boots, the police department took it, the next call was 4 boots, the police department took it, I called in 3 more boots, the police department took it, I believe I called in 2 more boots, the police department took it, then all of a sudden the when I went to call in maybe 3 more boots the dispatcher told me the desk sergeant said they're going to let me call in one boot at a time. From then on they wanted me to call in one boot, hang up, call back for the next boot, hang up, call back in the next boot, hang up call back, and call in the next boot. When I hang up and call in the next boot, they don't answer the phone or I'm on hold.

Meanwhile, while I'm holding for someone to answer or I've been placed on hold for them to take the boot, they send 5 or 6 patrol cars to Bank of America looking for an excuse to write me a city code, I have it on video recording dated, January 10, 2015.

From: russellbsmithesq@aoi.com

Subject: Re: Loss Income/Harassment New Rochelle, NY since deposition

Date: June 23, 2015 at 10:21 AM To: angelow0007@gmail.com

Call me right away on my cell

----Original Message----

From: Angelo Williams <angelow0007@gmail.com>

To: nbramson <nbramson@newrochelleny.com>; cstrome <cstrome@newrochelleny.com> Cc: bpowers

cstrome <cstrome@newrochelleny.com>; russellbsmithesq <russellbsmithesq@aol.com>

Sent: Sun, Jun 7, 2015 2:47 pm

Subject: Loss Income/Harassment New Rochelle, NY since deposition

> To Whom it may concern:

> Because of the police intense harassment since
the deposition on 1/9/15 I've doing about 80 percent less business.
> The

police is using a interpretation of the way the law is written about notifying them immediately when I call in a boot and they write me city code violations and they continue to do.

> So far, I have about 9 city code violations

including one of my workers. This alone causes me to have to pay lawyer fees to fight the city code and go to court which stops you from making money > Under

the law I've been calling in several boots at one time for 19 years up until January 10th when the police department decided to interpret law that I can only call one boot in at a time, hang up, call them back with the next boot, hang up, call in the next boot, etc. That is straight up harassment. I have video and recordings to back up what I'm saying. I want to show how they come with six and eight cars at a time, when no one complained, it's just me calling in a boot.

> Since I've been in business they come 6 - 8 cars at a time this has been done over 300 times

> The police are very hostile to me, it seems to me that its escalating and I'm going to get hurt or killed if no one is willing to resolve this matter. Im afraid for my life.

> Also I'm going to call in

the mayor, the city manager, the city clerk and the whole city council to answer questions about this horrendous law that they signed off on that in fact put tow companies out of business in New Rochelle and show favoritism toward Safeway.

> This law encourages the police department to be able to harass me and keep me tied up in court. I've been in touch with the deputy city manager for years and nothings been done.

> I will have each entity questioned in the ongoing federal court case that I'm involved in with New Rochelle and city council will be asked questions by my lawyer about the new law they implemented about booting and towing in New Rochelle.

> I'm going to send more information soon to all entities.

Sincerely,

Ammala Williams

Civilian Complaint Form (CO3) Reporte De Quejas Civiles



Complaint No/
Núm. de Queja o Reclamo
LEAVE BLANK /Deje el espacio en blanco

New Rochelle Police Department 475 North Avenue New, Rochelle NY 10801

Please deliver in person or mail completed form to: INTERNAL AFFAIRS UNIT at the above address. Please make a copy for your records. Por Favor de entregar este formulario al cuartel en persona, o de enviarlo a: INTERNAL AFFAIRS UNIT, a la dirección mencionada arriba. Por favor, haga una copia para sus archivos.

Date and Time Reported (DD/MM/TYYY, RRS) Hora y fecha del reporte	Date and Time of Incident (DD/MM/YYYY, HR Hora y fecha dell incidente	•	Lugar del incidente		
06/24/15	06/21/15-10	103m 491 Man		Street Bodrille	
Complainant's Last Name Apellido del reclamante Mailing Address/ Apartment or floor Direccion Postal /Apt. o Piso 2 2	First Name Primer Nombre City, State, Zip Code Ciudad, Estado	Day Tel.		Race Raza Evening Phone Tel. Noche	
Witness's Last Name Apellio del testigo(s) Fixet Name Primer Nombre		Address/	Apartment or floor pt. o Piso	Day Phone/ Evening Phone Tel. Dia/ Tel. Noche	
Time and Date of Occurrence/ Hora y fecha de	l incidente Location/L	ugar M⁄Xir	Sweet, Nax	Rochile	
Name of Police department Member(s) involve description. Nombre del policis(s)- si lottescoi	Rodull PD. Número de Nace de	e placa	Division/ Divicion	ón	
Nature of Complaint/Tipo de querella/Queja Arrest/Arresto	: Other Complaint/ Otro tipo d. Queja	e reclamo	Sexual Harass	ment/ Acoso Sexual	
Detention/Detención	Search of Property/ Allanmier propiedad	to de	Theft by Office	er/Robo por un Folicia(s)	
Excessive Force/ Uso de fuerza excesiva	Property Lost by Officer/ Prop perdida por policia(s)	iedad	persona	oπ∕ Cateo (Registro) de su	
Hate or Bias/ incidente basado en odio e pr	ejuicio Racial Profiling/Descriminacio	52n	Verbal Abuse/	Abuso verbal	
Detalls of Complaint (Use reverse side of paper atras de éste formulario)	If more space is required/Proves los detalle	s de recla	uno/ Queja. (Si necesita ma	ás espacio usar la parte de	
<i>S</i>	ee over -7				
Complainant's Signature/Firma del reclamante	Date/Fecha Police offi ésta inform	cer Rece	iving Complaint Form/ Ag	rente de policia reciviendo	

Details of Complaint/ Provea los detalles de su Queja/ Reclamo
Do b/21/15 I called in two 'books'
at 491 Main Street - Chase Bank. My
company is Avalor Touring and I am
paid to boot illegally parked vehicles
in the Chase Bank. I ralled in the three
boods and proceeded to place the books
on the cass. Within minutes, three
Dalux Ushailer actives is d. let
police vahicles arango in the lot.
The police officers informed me that
I had put the boods on the vehicles
Defore I called the Police. The Police
alliced told are that he is a first t
Officer told me that he was aware that
I had called in the books before he
had left the New Rochelle Police Department.
He then gave me two summoners for
Violatos Cil. Cila 311.3 iz
Violating City Code 316-3.18.
1

Case 7:13-cv-03315-NSR Document 78-1 Filed 10/16/15 Page 50 of 53

Police Department 475 North Avenue New Rochelle, NY 10801



(914) 654-2230 Writer's Telephone: (914)

(

City of New Rochelle New York

Angelo Williams 22 Clinton Avenue New Rochelle, New York, 10801

June 25, 2015

Dear Mr. Williams,

The complaint you filed against Lt. Lonergan and Sgt. Wilson on May 6, 2015 has been investigated and the investigation has failed to disclose sufficient evidence to substantiate your complaint.

Thank you for bringing this incident to my attention.

Very truly yours,

Case 7:13-cv-03315-NSR Document 78-1 Filed 10/16/15 Page 51 of 53

Police Department 475 North Avenue New Rochelle, NY 10801



(914) 654-2230 Writer's Telephone: (914)

City of New Rochelle New York

Angelo Williams 22 Clinton Avenue New Rochelle, New York, 10801

June 25, 2015

Dear Mr. Williams,

The complaint you filed against Sgt. Conca and P.O. Monik on May 6, 2015 has been investigated and the investigation has failed to disclose sufficient evidence to substantiate your complaint.

Thank you for bringing this incident to my attention.

Very truly yours,

Police Department 475 North Avenue New Rochelle, NY 10801



(914) 654-2230 Writer's Telephone: (914)

City of New Rochelle New York

Angelo Williams 22 Clinton Avenue New Rochelle, New York, 10801

June 25, 2015

Dear Mr. Williams,

The complaint you filed against the "County Police" on May 22, 2015 has not been investigated as you were not technically arrested by the New Rochelle Police Department. You were arrested by New York State Court Officers. Your phone was held as evidence as per the District Attorney's Office.

Very truly yours,

Case 7:13-cv-03315-NSR Document 78-1 Filed 10/16/15 Page 53 of 53

Police Department 475 North Avenue New Rochelle, NY 10801



(914) 654-2230 Writer's Telephone: (914)

City of New Rochelle New York

Angelo Williams 22 Clinton Avenue New Rochelle, New York, 10801

June 25, 2015

Dear Mr. Williams,

The complaint you filed against P.O. Boneski on May 22, 2015 has been investigated and the investigation has failed to disclose sufficient evidence to substantiate your complaint.

Thank you for bringing this incident to my attention.

Very truly yours,